



Q1 - Q1. Please give an overall score for this course (1 as poor and 5 as excellent):



| Field  | Min  | Max  | Mean | Standard Deviation | Variance | Responses | Sum    |
|--|------|------|------|--------------------|----------|-----------|--------|
| Q1. Please give an overall score for this course (1 as poor and 5 as excellent): | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.43 | 0.99               | 0.98     | 30        | 133.00 |

Q3 - Q3. Please rate the teaching effectiveness of Aditya Khemka [TA] on this course (1 as poor and 5 as excellent):

30 Responses



| Field   | Min  | Max  | Mean | Standard Deviation | Variance | Responses | Sum    |
|---|------|------|------|--------------------|----------|-----------|--------|
| Q3. Please rate the teaching effectiveness of Aditya Khemka [TA] on this course (1 as poor and 5 as excellent): | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.87 | 0.34               | 0.12     | 30        | 146.00 |

## Q4 - Q4. Please include feedback comments on the teaching effectiveness of Aditya Khemka [TA]:

19 Responses

Q4. Please include feedback comments on the teaching effectiveness of Aditya Khemka [TA]:

Very good, keen on explaining everything in detail

- really liked revision of general concepts before dealing the with the problem sets

Clear and thorough

Aditya was unquestionably the best TA of our 4 core courses so far. He explains concepts in a very logical and straightforward way, and clarifies the content covered in the lectures. His slide decks are clear and explain the steps of every derivation without ambiguity.

Best TA

Sometimes the class was too fast to digest all the key concepts

Very dynamic and engaging

He's the best, honestly. Was always present in the office hours and the lectures to answer all our questions, so he knew what our style of questions was- covered it in the support. His slides are quite detailed. I really appreciate that.

Recap at the beginning very useful every time. sometimes solutions could be a bit more extensive for people not understanding some steps.

Great instructor

He is always supportive and helpful on my understanding of this course and problems

Super clear answers to problem sets plus good reviews of class materials

Could not attend most lectures due to conflict.

Very clear

Very effective solidifying learnings from the course

Stellar

Very good pace. Good that we repeat difficult concepts. Good balance between going through concepts and problems

Excellent explanations and great balance between review and questions, also liked how he didn't just fill the time allocated with unnecessary explanations

He was an exceptional ta

Q5 - Q5. Please indicate how you would rate your achievement of each of the learning outcomes on completion of this course (1 as poor and 5 as excellent):

| Field  | Min  | Max  | Mean | Standard<br>Deviation | Variance | Responses | Sum    |
|--|------|------|------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|--------|
| Learning Outcome 1: Understand and link principles of microeconomics to motivate the need for finance, ground asset pricing theory in economics. | 2.00 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 0.87                  | 0.76     | 30        | 130.00 |
| Learning Outcome 2: Develop an overview of the fundamental principles of asset pricing as a bridge between economics and finance.                | 2.00 | 5.00 | 4.43 | 0.76                  | 0.58     | 30        | 133.00 |